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Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) is a potentially life-threatening manifestation of type 1 diabetes, the incidence of which has increased from 3.2 to 4.6 cases/10,000 population between 1988 and 2009.1 Fortunately, DKA treatment is very effective; experienced centers have an estimated mortality rate from DKA of <5%.2 Treatment of DKA focuses on restoring circulatory volume, clearing ketones, stabilizing blood glucose, and managing electrolyte abnormalities.

The costs of DKA treatment are significant, with estimated mean expenses for a single hospitalization ranging from $7,470 to $20,864.3 The annual cost of DKA management to the health care system is in excess of $1 billion and accounts for one of every two dollars spent on the management of type 1 diabetes in adults.2 One potential intervention to decrease these costs is to minimize the use of hospital intensive care units (ICUs) when patients can receive safe and effective care on hospital wards. Expert opinion has provided some guidance for ICU use based on the severity of illness at presentation, but evidence to support such recommendations is minimal.4,5 The American Diabetes Association (ADA) provides limited specific recommendations regarding ICU utilization, whereas a 2011 position statement and care recommendations from the Joint British Diabetes Societies recommend intensive care for patients meeting any of the following criteria: serum ketones > 6 mmol/L, anion gap > 16, bicarbonate level < 5 mmol/L, pH < 7.1, potassium < 3.5 mmol/L, Glasgow coma scale < 12, O2 saturation < 92%, systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg, or pulse > 100 or < 60 bpm.6

Clinical practice with regard to DKA management varies greatly, as described in a 2009 review in the state of New York,7 showing that patients with DKA comprised 0.4% of all hospital admissions, with 52.6% of these patients admitted to ICUs. This study identified patient factors that may lead to ICU admission, including income, insurance provider, teaching status of the hospital, and hospital occupancy, rather than the clinical presentation of the patients. No difference was noted in duration of hospitalization or mortality between ICU and non-ICU management. Previous studies have shown that patients with DKA can be safely and effectively treated with subcutaneous insulin on medicine wards; however, we have not identified any studies of ward treatment of DKA with continuous infusions of insulin.8–12

The Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC) treats a similar percentage of patients on general medicine wards, as seen in the New York study described above,7 using an internally developed, Internet-based, nursing-driven insulin infusion protocol. The safety and efficacy of the MUSC protocol was validated in a population of cardiothoracic surgery patients.13 DKA treatment at MUSC calls for blood glucose to be checked hourly, with a target of 150–200 mg/dl, regardless of the location of treatment. Monitoring treatment safety, both in the ICU and on medicine wards, is crucial because hypoglycemia has been shown to increase mortality among hospitalized patients.14

The goal of this study was to review the overall management of DKA and characterize patients who were safely and effectively treated on general medicine wards compared to patients treated in the ICU.

Research Design and Methods

This retrospective cohort analysis of adult patients treated for DKA at MUSC between 1 June 2011 and 30 September 2012 was approved by the university’s institutional review board.
Patient selection
All patients ≥ 18 years of age who were treated at MUSC during the study period with a diagnosis of DKA or type 1 diabetes with ketosis were included. Type 1 diabetes was confirmed through chart review. Patients with type 2 diabetes, those receiving hemodialysis, and those receiving DKA treatment initiated at another hospital were excluded. The diagnosis of DKA was confirmed by the presence of at least two of the following: elevated anion gap attributable to DKA, serum pH < 7.4, elevated blood glucose, or presence of serum or urine ketones.

Study methods
Baseline characteristics were collected from the time of presentation with DKA. Laboratory values such as the A1C, pH, serum or urine ketones, and serum osmolality were not measured for some hospitalizations; in these instances, no value was included in the analysis. Nominal data were analyzed with a Fisher’s exact test, and continuous data were analyzed using a Student’s t test.

The Internet-based intravenous (IV) insulin infusion calculator (IVIIC) used in this institution employs a multiplier, which is a surrogate for insulin sensitivity based on the current and previous glucose values. The units of insulin to be infused per hour is calculated based on (current glucose – 60 mg/dl) × [multiplier]. For DKA, the multiplier is set at 0.01 initially.

Study outcomes
The primary effectiveness outcome was the time to closure of the anion gap and percentage of blood glucose readings within a goal range of 150–200 mg/dl while on insulin infusion. The goal range of 150–200 mg/dl was previously established based on expert opinion at MUSC, with consideration for the glucose targets for initial DKA treatment published

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline Characteristics (n = 71)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Patients</strong> (n = 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female [n (%)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American [n (%)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age [years (IQR)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median weight [kg (IQR)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median A1C [% (IQR)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Admission Laboratory Values (median [IQR])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Patients</strong> (n = 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anion gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate (mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride (mmol/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (mg/dl)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Duration of hospitalization was calculated from the time of admission to the time of discharge, which excluded emergency department care before admission. Secondary safety outcomes included the incidence of hypoglycemia, both while on insulin infusion and after conversion to subcutaneous insulin, and the incidence of re-opening of the anion gap. Hypoglycemia was characterized as mild for glucose values 60–69 mg/dl, moderate for glucose values of 40–59 mg/dl, and severe for glucose values < 40 mg/dl. Overall rates of hypoglycemia were based on a blood glucose value < 70 mg/dl. Conversion to subcutaneous insulin was analyzed for appropriate overlap of 2 hours before discontinuation of IV insulin infusion.

Study Results
One hundred and twenty-three admissions coded as DKA were screened, of which 52 were excluded for either type 2 diabetes (n = 33), hemodialysis (n = 4), initiation of treatment at another hospital (n = 9), or not meeting diagnostic criteria for DKA (n = 6). Of the remaining cases, 40 were treated on the general medicine wards and 31 were treated in the ICU.

Patients were generally 25–35 years of age, with a median A1C of 11.9% (Table 1). Patients in the ICU had higher admission blood glucose and lower bicarbonate levels (Table 2). A total of 1,526 blood glucose readings were obtained, including 781 from patients initially treated in the ICU and 745 from patients treated on medicine wards (Table 3). There was no difference between ICU and ward patients in the frequency of values above, within, or below goal. The incidence of hypoglycemia while on insulin infusion was 12.9 and 12.5% in the ICU and medicine wards, respectively, and all cases of severe hypoglycemia (blood glucose < 40 mg/dl) occurred in the ICU (Figure 1).

The average time to documented anion gap closure was just over 10 hours regardless of the level of care. Patients in the ICU tended to stay on insulin infusions longer than those on the wards (18.5 vs. 12.7 hours, respectively), but this was not statistically significant. ICU patients had a significantly longer duration of hospitalization (3.78 vs. 1.95 days; Table 3).
The increased duration was not accounted for by ICU length of stay, which was a median of 28 hours before transfer to the wards. An appropriate 2-hour overlap during the conversion of IV insulin to subcutaneous insulin occurred in 71% and 59% of patients treated in the ICU and medicine wards, respectively. One patient died during hospitalization because of complications of a small bowel obstruction days after resolution of DKA.

**Discussion**

ICU utilization was slightly lower at our institution than the overall rates previously published by Gershengorn et al. The authors noted that academic medical centers had a lower incidence of ICU utilization but did not specify the rates.

This study found that the patients treated on medicine wards had rates of hypoglycemia similar to those of patients treated in an ICU on IV insulin. Previous studies limited the amount of time during which hypoglycemia screening occurred, whereas this analysis encompassed the entire hospitalization; therefore, the ability to compare hypoglycemia incidence rates was limited.

Nonetheless, we observed a lower incidence than Fusco et al., despite counting each event separately. Similarly, a study by Bull et al. found that ~19% of patients had hypoglycemia (defined as <70 mg/dl). Two studies analyzing the use of subcutaneous insulin for DKA on medicine wards found rates of hypoglycemia closer to 5% but used a lower threshold for hypoglycemia (<60 mg/dl). The incidence of hypoglycemia after conversion to subcutaneous insulin was slightly higher than what was found in a study by Umpierrez et al., which documented a range of 15–41% depending on the type of insulin to which patients were converted.

The incidence rates of severe hypoglycemia in the ICU were generally the result of conversion to subcutaneous insulin doses with varying nutritional supplementation during the hospitalization. In the ICU, other confounding variables such as concomitant illness, medications causing elevated glucose levels leading to additional insulin administration, or aggressive dosing of correction insulin, cannot be ruled out as potential reasons for severe hypoglycemia. Similarly, feeding patients and not providing prandial insulin coverage while using the IVIC may over-treat hyperglycemia, leading to subsequent hypoglycemia. Other previously identified risk factors for hypoglycemia in the ICU that were not evaluated in this study include continuous renal replacement therapy with bicarbonate replacement, sepsis with inotropic support, and octreotide therapy. Because glucose samples are often measured from a central line in our ICU, peripheral vasoconstriction is not a likely contributor. Taken together, these findings support the safety of treating DKA on the wards, with the caveat that glucose values must be measured hourly.

DKA resolution was similar to previously published studies of patients in an ICU even though many of our patients were treated on the wards. Of note, the lower initial bicarbonate level and increased anion gap in ICU patients may reflect a greater severity of illness. This is supported by the higher potassium levels, which may indicate greater degrees of dehydration. Admitting these more severely ill patients to the ICU is consistent with previously published recommendations. However, the safe and effective ward management of DKA in patients who have less severe illness supports previously published opinions on the practice of stratifying patients to conserve resources.

Another interesting outcome was the shorter duration of hospitalization for patients treated on medicine wards, despite the fact that all other outcomes were not significantly different. Two previous studies discussing DKA treatment with subcutaneous insulin on medicine wards had baseline characteristics that were fairly similar but slightly higher initial blood glucose and lower bicarbonate levels. These patients treated with subcutaneous insulin had a longer length of hospitalization, ranging from 3.4 to 4 days, than those treated on the wards in our study. Although the greater severity of illness of ICU patients may account for some of the increased length of stay, most patients in both groups were transitioned to subcutaneous insulin during the first 24 hours after admission. Because handoffs pose a significant challenge in patient care, exploring the impact of transition from the ICU to the ward on length of stay for DKA patients may be warranted.

In total, the results of this study support the safe and effective care of patients with DKA on general medicine wards. This may allow ICU beds to remain available for patients with other illnesses and potentially improve outcomes for those patients. Furthermore, it could limit the number of transitions of care and reduce the costs of treating DKA.

The significant limitation to this study is its inability to evaluate fluid resuscitation because of variances in documentation among the emergency department, ICU, and wards. The ADA and European guidelines both note that appropriate fluid resuscitation is imperative for appropriate DKA treatment. Nonetheless, the rapid resolution of DKA, which was similar to previous reports, in conjunction with short hospital
length of stay, suggests that fluid resuscitation was likely adequate.

An additional limitation of the study includes its retrospective design and lack of laboratory values for all patients. Although the ADA recommends using pH as a marker of DKA resolution, six patients did not have an initial pH value, and the majority of patients only had one pH level reported during the course of their hospitalization. This lack of data limits comparisons with previously published studies that used this marker for DKA resolution.17,18

**Conclusion**

The results of this study show that patients with characteristics such as those described in Table 2 can be safely and effectively treated for DKA on medicine wards using an IVIC with outcomes similar to those treated in an ICU. In addition to improving bed management issues in the ICU and reducing the number of transitions in care, the treatment of these patients on medicine wards may have a significant financial impact on the health care system. Attribution of a decreased length of stay to transitions in care warrants further study.
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