

Advances in Insulin Therapy: A Review of New Insulin Glargine 300 Units/mL in the Management of Diabetes

John R. White, Jr.

■ **IN BRIEF** New insulin glargine 300 units/mL (Gla-300) is a formulation of insulin glargine that has a more constant pharmacokinetic profile with a prolonged duration of action. The EDITION clinical trial program showed that the use of Gla-300 leads to glycemic control comparable to that of insulin glargine 100 units/mL in a wide range of populations of people with diabetes. It is associated with comparable to less nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemia and less weight gain, despite requiring a somewhat higher insulin dose than U-100. The distinct pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic and clinical profiles of Gla-300 may benefit a range of people with type 1 or type 2 diabetes.

The use of long-acting basal insulin analogs has contributed significantly to improvements in diabetes management over the past decade. Their longer duration of action, with a less distinct peak of action compared to NPH insulin, results in improved glycemic control and an associated reduction in hypoglycemia (1–6). The reduction in hypoglycemia seen with the long-acting basal insulins is important both in terms of clinical outcomes and in addressing patients' and clinicians' fears of hypoglycemia, which may affect both willingness to initiate or titrate insulin therapy and patient adherence to treatment (7). The long-term safety of long-acting insulin glargine 100 units/mL (Gla-100) is also well established (8), and this formulation has been shown to have a neutral effect on cardiovascular outcomes and cancer (9,10).

Recently, newer basal insulins have been developed that have an even longer duration of action with less variation in blood glucose control, and with these there has been a trend toward a reduction in nocturnal hypoglycemia. These new basal insu-

lins include insulin degludec (11–13), basal insulin peglispro (14,15), and new insulin glargine 300 units/mL (Gla-300).

Gla-300 is a new formulation of insulin glargine that delivers the same number of insulin units as Gla-100, but in one-third the injection volume. Pharmacokinetic (PK)/pharmacodynamic (PD) studies have shown that, after injection, Gla-300 is released more gradually from the subcutaneous tissue than Gla-100, giving a more constant PK profile with a prolonged duration of action beyond 24 hours (16–18). The less pronounced peak of action could theoretically result in a more gradual reduction in blood glucose, with a reduced risk of hypoglycemia, while achieving glycemic control; however, this would need to be confirmed clinically in phase 3 trials. Gla-300 has undergone phase 3 clinical trial assessment (the EDITION clinical trial program), the results of which are discussed below. Gla-300 was approved in early 2015 by both the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency.

Department of Pharmacotherapy,
Washington State University, College of
Pharmacy, Spokane, WA

Corresponding author: John R. White, Jr.,
whitej@wsu.edu

DOI: 10.2337/diaclin.34.2.86

©2016 by the American Diabetes Association.
Readers may use this article as long as the work
is properly cited, the use is educational and not
for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0>
for details.

An additional consideration for new insulin formulations is the requirement for larger doses of insulin in some populations, particularly in obese individuals and those with insulin resistance. Increasing the dose of insulin using conventional 100 units/mL solutions of basal insulin is challenging given the limitations of dispensing large volumes from syringes or pens. The need for high-volume injections, with consequent discomfort and possible injection-site adverse events, could potentially reduce adherence in patients requiring large insulin doses (20). In addition, very large volumes of insulin may have different PK properties (21). Gla-300 may help to overcome some of these issues by reducing the volume of injections required, in addition to the possible benefits provided by its distinct PK/PD properties.

This article reviews the new long-acting insulin Gla-300, the results from the EDITION clinical trial program, the populations who may benefit from this new insulin, and practical information on its use.

EDITION Clinical Trial Program

The efficacy and safety of Gla-300 compared to Gla-100 has been investigated in the phase 3 EDITION clinical trial program, which comprised a series of international, multicenter, randomized, open-label, parallel-group, treat-to-target studies conducted in distinct populations of people with type 1 or type 2 diabetes. The primary endpoint in all studies was noninferiority for A1C change from baseline to month 6, and the main secondary endpoint in the trials of people with type 2 diabetes was the percentage of participants with ≥ 1 nocturnal (midnight–5:59 a.m.) confirmed (blood glucose ≤ 70 mg/dL) or severe (per the American Diabetes Association definition) hypoglycemic event from week 9 to month 6.

Gla-300 in Type 2 Diabetes

Data from four studies of Gla-300 in people with type 2 diabetes represent-

ing a range of clinical populations are currently available and summarized in Table 1 (22–25). These studies include people not reaching glycemic targets on basal plus mealtime insulin (EDITION 1) (22), basal insulin plus oral antidiabetes drugs in both a multinational (EDITION 2) (23) and a Japanese study (EDITION JP 2) (25), and noninsulin therapies (EDITION 3) (24). The type 2 diabetes EDITION trials have shown consistent efficacy results across the full range of populations studied, successfully meeting the primary endpoint with similar reductions in A1C compared to Gla-100 in all studies.

With respect to hypoglycemia, there was a significant reduction in the main secondary outcome (percentage of individuals experiencing ≥ 1 nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event from week 9 to month 6) with Gla-300 compared to Gla-100 in EDITION 1, 2, and JP 2 (although EDITION JP 2 was not powered to identify a difference in hypoglycemic events) (22–25). Over the 6-month study period, the risk of experiencing ≥ 1 nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event was significantly lower with Gla-300 for all four studies. From baseline to week 8, the risk of experiencing ≥ 1 nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event was reduced in EDITION 1 and 2, but comparable for EDITION 3 and JP 2 (22–25). The risk of people experiencing ≥ 1 confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event at any time of day over the 6-month study period was significantly lower in EDITION 2 and comparable in EDITION 1, 3, and JP 2 (22–25).

At the end of the 6-month studies, the dose of basal insulin was higher with Gla-300 than with Gla-100 in EDITION 1 (0.97 vs. 0.88 units/kg/day; least squares [LS] mean difference 0.09 units/kg/day; 95% CI 0.062–0.124), EDITION 2 (0.92 vs. 0.84 units/kg/day; LS mean difference 11.14 units/day; 95% CI 8.14–14.14), and EDITION 3 (0.62 units/kg/day vs. 0.53 units/kg/day)

(22–24). Despite this, there was less weight gain with Gla-300 than with Gla-100 in EDITION 2 (+0.08 vs. +0.66 kg, $P = 0.015$), and weight loss in EDITION JP 2 (–0.62 vs. +0.37 kg) (23,25). Weight gain in EDITION 1 was similar for people treated with either Gla-100 or Gla-300 (+0.9 kg in both groups) (22), and numerically less with Gla-300 in EDITION 3 (+0.49 vs. +0.71 kg, NS) (24). In EDITION 1, 2, and 3, treatment satisfaction (measured using the Diabetes Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire) increased over the 6-month study period to a similar extent for both groups (22–24).

Six-month open-label extension studies of EDITION 1 and 2 resulted in consistent improvements in glycemic control for people treated with both Gla-300 and Gla-100 (28,29). Use of Gla-300 was associated with a significant reduction in the relative risk (RR) of nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemia over the 12-month course of both extension studies (Gla-300 vs. Gla-100, respectively: for EDITION 1, 54.5 vs. 64.7%, RR 0.84 [95% CI 0.75–0.94] and for EDITION 2, 37.5 vs 44.6%, RR 0.84 [95% CI 0.71–0.99]) (28,29). In EDITION 1, the average insulin dose remained $\sim 10\%$ higher with Gla-300 than with Gla-100 after 12 months (1.03 vs. 0.90 units/kg/day) (28). Both groups showed a small increase in body weight in EDITION 2, but this increase was significantly lower with Gla-300 (LS mean difference 0.42 kg [95% CI 0.04–0.80] vs. 1.14 kg [95% CI 0.76–1.52], $P = 0.0091$) (29).

A substudy of participants in EDITION 1 and EDITION 2 continuing treatment after the initial 6-month trial period compared flexible (allowing between-injection intervals of 24 ± 3 hours on at least 2 days/week) and fixed (once daily in the evening at fixed 24-hour intervals) dosing regimens with Gla-300 over 3 months (30). Change in A1C from baseline was comparable with both the flexible and fixed regimens

TABLE 1. Characteristics, Efficacy, and Safety Endpoints of the EDITION Trials

Study (Ref.)	Population	n	Charac- teristics, Mean	Confirmed or Severe Hypoglycemia: [†]										Severe Hypoglycemia: [†]					
				A1C Change: [*]		Nocturnal Baseline to Week 8		Nocturnal Week 9 to Month 8		Nocturnal Baseline to Month 6		Any Time of Day Baseline to Month 6		Baseline to Month 6		Gla-300		Gla-100	
				Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100	Gla-300	Gla-100
Type 2 Diabetes																			
EDITION 1 (22)	Basal + mealtime insulin	807	Diabetes duration: 16 years BMI: 36.6 kg/m ² A1C: 8.2%	-0.83%	-0.83%	26.2%	33.3%	36.1%	46.0%	44.6%	57.5%	81.9%	87.8%	5.0%	5.7%				
				LS mean difference: -0.00% (95% CI -0.11 to 0.11)		RR 0.79	RR 0.79	RR 0.79	RR 0.78	RR 0.93	(95% CI 0.88-0.99)		(95% CI 0.48-1.55)						
EDITION 2 (23)	Basal insulin + OADs	811	Diabetes duration: 13 years BMI: 34.8 kg/m ² A1C: 8.2%	-0.57%	-0.56%	13.2%	24.6%	21.6%	27.9%	28.3%	39.9%	70.0%	77.3%	1.0%	1.5%				
				LS mean difference: -0.01% (95% CI -0.14 to 0.12)		RR 0.53	RR 0.53	RR 0.77	RR 0.71	RR 0.90	(95% CI 0.83-0.98)								
EDITION 3 (24)	Insulin naive	878	Diabetes duration: 10 years BMI: 33.0 kg/m ² A1C: 8.5%	-1.42%	-1.46%	7.4%	1.0%	15.4%	17.1%	17.9%	23.5%	46.2%	52.5%	0.9%	0.9%				
				LS mean difference: 0.04% (95% CI -0.09 to 0.17)		RR 0.74	RR 0.74	RR 0.89	RR 0.76	RR 0.88	(95% CI 0.77-1.01)								
EDITION JP 2 (25)	Japanese study; basal insulin + OADs	241	Diabetes duration: 14 years BMI: 25.3 kg/m ² A1C: 8.0%	-0.45%	-0.55%	13.3%	16.7%	25.4%	43.7%	28.3%	45.8%	65.0%	76.7%	Infrequent	Infrequent				
				LS mean difference: 0.10% (95% CI -0.08 to 0.27)		RR 0.83	RR 0.83	RR 0.58	RR 0.62	RR 0.86	(95% CI 0.73-1.01)								
Type 1 Diabetes																			
EDITION 4 (26)	Basal + mealtime insulin	549	Diabetes duration: 21 years BMI: 27.6 kg/m ² A1C: 8.1%	-0.40%	-0.44%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.6%	9.5%				
				LS mean difference: 0.04% (95% CI -0.10 to 0.19)		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA									

TABLE CONTINUED ON P. 89 →

TABLE 1. Characteristics, Efficacy, and Safety Endpoints of the EDITION Trials continued from p. 88

Study (Ref.)	Population	n	Charac- teristics, Mean	A1C Change:* Baseline to Month 6				Confirmed or Severe Hypoglycemia: [†]				Severe Hypoglycemia: [†] Baseline to Month 6		
				Gla-300	Gla-100	Nocturnal Baseline to Week 8	Nocturnal Week 9 to Month 8	Nocturnal Baseline to Month 6	Any Time of Day Baseline to Month 6	Gla-300	Gla-100		Gla-300	Gla-100
Type 1 Diabetes continued from p. 88														
EDITION JP 1 (27)	Japanese study; basal + mealtime insulin	243	Diabetes duration: 13 years A1C: 8.1%	-0.30%	-0.43%	43.4%	61.2%	61.7%	73.7%	-0.00%	-0.00%	-0.00%	Infrequent	Infrequent
				LS mean difference: 0.13% (95% CI 0.56–0.91)	RR 0.71 (95% CI 0.56–0.91)	RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.70–1.00)	RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.70–1.00)	LS mean difference: -0.00% (95% CI -0 to 0)	LS mean difference: -0.00% (95% CI -0 to 0)	LS mean difference: -0.00% (95% CI -0 to 0)	LS mean difference: -0.00% (95% CI -0 to 0)	LS mean difference: -0.00% (95% CI -0 to 0)	NA	NA

*LS mean change. †People experiencing ≥1 hypoglycemic event (safety population). NA, not available; OADs, oral antidiabetes drugs.

(for EDITION 1, LS mean difference 0.05% [95% CI -0.19 to 0.30] and for EDITION 2, LS mean difference 0.13% [95% CI -0.15 to 0.42]). Similar proportions of participants on each regimen experienced ≥1 nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemic events (flexible vs. fixed, respectively: for EDITION 1, 15 vs. 12% and for EDITION 2, 7 vs. 10%), or ≥1 confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event at any time of day (flexible vs. fixed: for EDITION 1, 32 vs. 35% and for EDITION 2, 16 vs. 18%) (30). These data suggest that flexibility in the timing of daily Gla-300 injections by ±3 hours results in similar efficacy and safety compared to fixed dosing.

In a patient-level meta-analysis of EDITION 1, 2, and 3 (n = 2,496), a similar change in A1C from baseline to month 6 for Gla-300 and Gla-100 was demonstrated (LS mean change -1.02% for both treatments) (31). There was also a reduction in the proportion of people experiencing ≥1 nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.68–0.83) and ≥1 confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event at any time of day (RR 0.91, 95% CI 0.87–0.96) over the 6-month study period (31). There was a small weight gain with both Gla-300 and Gla-100 (+0.49 vs. +0.75 kg) (31).

Gla-300 in Type 1 Diabetes

Data are currently available from two studies of Gla-300 in people with type 1 diabetes not reaching glycemic targets on basal plus mealtime insulin (EDITION 4 and EDITION JP 1) and are summarized in Table 1 (26,27).

As in type 2 diabetes, treatment with Gla-300 and Gla-100 in EDITION 4 led to a similar reduction in A1C over the 6-month study period. Event rates of nocturnal and anytime confirmed or severe hypoglycemia were similar for Gla-300 and Gla-100 over the 6-month study period; there was a reduced rate of nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemia from baseline to week 8

(rate ratio 0.69 [95% CI 0.53–0.91]) (26). Total insulin dose in EDITION 4 was slightly higher for Gla-300 than for Gla-100 (change from baseline +0.19 vs. +0.10 units/kg). Despite this, weight gain was significantly lower with Gla-300 (LS mean difference -0.56 kg; P = 0.037) (26).

In EDITION JP 1, there was also a similar reduction in A1C between Gla-300 and Gla-100 over the 6-month study. Although the study was not powered to assess differences in hypoglycemia, the proportion of subjects experiencing ≥1 nocturnal confirmed or severe hypoglycemic event over the 6-month study, as well as in the first 8 weeks, was significantly lower with Gla-300 (27). A similar proportion of subjects experienced confirmed or severe hypoglycemia at any time of day over the 6-month study (27).

Safety of Gla-300

Clinical trials of Gla-300 have not highlighted any unique adverse event issues arising from the use of this new formulation. The safety profiles of Gla-300 and Gla-100 were similar across all of the EDITION trials (22–29). There was no evidence of increased injection site reactions for Gla-300 compared to Gla-100 in EDITION 1 (2.2 vs 1.5%, respectively), EDITION 2 (0.7 vs. 2.7%), or EDITION 3 (4 vs. 5%) (22–24).

Several safety concerns were previously raised with the use of concentrated insulin following the use of recombinant human regular insulin 500 units/mL (U500). These were largely related to the risk of dosing errors due to confusion between the 500-units/mL and 100-units/mL formulations. Such errors have resulted in incidences of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, which have been fatal in rare cases (20). These dosing errors are likely related to the fact that U500 is not available with a calibrated syringe or a pen device and so requires special instructions on its use. The availability of Gla-300 in a pen device that delivers the insulin dose

in standard units of insulin should allay some of the concerns regarding the potential for dosing errors. This may also reduce any confusion in switching between 100-units/mL and 300-units/mL formulations.

Gla-300: Potential Benefits and Practical Tips

A range of people with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes may benefit from treatment with insulins offering a longer activity profile and lower hypoglycemia risk. People at high risk of hypoglycemia or hypoglycemia-related adverse events such as falls are likely to benefit significantly. Those who currently require twice-daily dosing may also benefit from an insulin with a prolonged duration of action, which may allow for once-daily dosing. The possibility for some flexibility in the timing of Gla-300 dosing may benefit people with adherence issues related to rigid dosing schedules or complex regimens (32,33).

People requiring large insulin doses because of severe insulin resistance or obesity are likely to benefit further from the use of Gla-300. “Severe insulin resistance” has been defined as a total daily insulin requirement of ≥ 200 units or insulin doses >2 units/kg/day (34). The need for large daily insulin doses is associated with large injection volumes and consequent injection site pain (34), with higher numbers of daily injections and increased injection site pain being significant risk factors for nonadherence (35). Gla-300 could provide a reduced dose volume for people who need larger insulin doses. A patient using the Gla-300 pen will be able to administer 80 units in a single injection.

There are some additional practical considerations related to Gla-300 use. Data from the titration phase (the first 8 weeks of treatment) of the EDITION 1 and 2 studies showed reduced risk for nocturnal hypoglycemia (22–24). This may allow for greater confidence in titrating the insulin dose by reducing the fear of

nocturnal hypoglycemia. The potential for slightly higher doses with Gla-300 compared to Gla-100 may also need to be considered; people who switch back to their previous therapy may then have a different dose requirement.

Summary and Conclusion

Gla-300 is a new formulation of insulin glargine that has a more constant and prolonged PK profile than Gla-100. The EDITION clinical trial program showed that the use of Gla-300 leads to noninferior glycemic control compared to Gla-100 in a range of populations of people with type 1 or type 2 diabetes. There was also evidence from individual trials for less nocturnal and anytime confirmed or severe hypoglycemia and less weight gain despite a slightly higher insulin requirement. A patient-level meta-analysis of the EDITION trials in people with type 2 diabetes suggests a reduction in confirmed or severe hypoglycemia both nocturnally and at any time of day in the population as a whole.

The clinical profile of Gla-300 may benefit a range of people with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes, particularly those for whom a reduction in the incidence of hypoglycemia would be advantageous. In addition, the use of Gla-300 may also benefit people requiring large doses of insulin by reducing the volume of insulin injections. The use of an insulin pen device will allow for ease of switching to Gla-300 and use of Gla-300 without the need for special instructions.

Acknowledgments

Sanofi US provided funding for writing and editorial support in the preparation of this article from Nicola Truss, PhD, of Excerpta Medica.

Duality of Interest

Dr. White served on an advisory board for Sanofi US.

References

1. Ratner RE, Hirsch IB, Neifing JL, Garg SK, Mecca TE, Wilson CA. Less hypoglycemia with insulin glargine in intensive

insulin therapy for type 1 diabetes. U.S. Study Group of Insulin Glargine in Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2000;23:639–643

2. Yki-Järvinen H, Dressler A, Ziemer M; HOE 901/300s Study Group. Less nocturnal hypoglycemia and better post-dinner glucose control with bedtime insulin glargine compared with bedtime NPH insulin during insulin combination therapy in type 2 diabetes. HOE 901/3002 Study Group. *Diabetes Care* 2000;23:1130–1136

3. Rosenstock J, Schwartz SL, Clark CM Jr, Park GD, Donley DW, Edwards MB. Basal insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes: 28-week comparison of insulin glargine (HOE 901) and NPH insulin. *Diabetes Care* 2001;24:631–636

4. Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, Gerich J; Insulin Glargine 4002 Study Investigators. The Treat-to-Target trial: randomized addition of glargine or human NPH insulin to oral therapy of type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:3080–3086

5. Home P, Bartley P, Russell-Jones D, et al.; Study to Evaluate the Administration of Detemir Insulin Efficacy, Safety and Suitability (STEADINESS) Study Group. Insulin detemir offers improved glycemic control compared with NPH insulin in people with type 1 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:1081–1087

6. Bartley PC, Bogoev M, Larsen J, Philotheou A. Long-term efficacy and safety of insulin detemir compared to neutral protamine hagedorn insulin in patients with type 1 diabetes using a treat-to-target basal-bolus regimen with insulin aspart at meals: a 2-year, randomized, controlled trial. *Diabet Med* 2008;25:442–449

7. Peyrot M, Barnett AH, Meneghini LF, Schumm-Draeger PM. Factors associated with injection omission/non-adherence in the Global Attitudes of Patients and Physicians in Insulin Therapy study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2012;14:1081–1087

8. Shaefer CF, Reid TS, Dailey G, et al. Weight change in patients with type 2 diabetes starting basal insulin therapy: correlates and impact on outcomes. *Postgrad Med* 2014;126:93–105

9. ORIGIN Trial Investigators; Gerstein HC, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, et al. Basal insulin and cardiovascular and other outcomes in dysglycemia. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:319–328

10. Colhoun HM; SDRN Epidemiology Group. Use of insulin glargine and cancer incidence in Scotland: a study from the Scottish Diabetes Research Network Epidemiology Group. *Diabetologia* 2009;52:1755–1765

11. Birkeland KI, Home PD, Wendisch U, et al. Insulin degludec in type 1 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial of a new-generation ultra-long-acting insulin compared with insulin glargine. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:661–665

12. Zinman B, Philis-Tsimikas A, Cariou B, et al.; NN1250-3579 (BEGIN Once Long) Trial Investigators. Insulin degludec versus insulin glargine in insulin-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes: a 1-year, randomized, treat-to-target trial (BEGIN Once Long). *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:2464–2471
13. Garber AJ, King AB, Del Prato S, et al.; NN1250-3582 (BEGIN BB T2D) Trial Investigators. Insulin degludec, an ultra-longacting basal insulin, versus insulin glargine in basal-bolus treatment with mealtime insulin aspart in type 2 diabetes (BEGIN Basal-Bolus Type 2): a phase 3, randomised, open-label, treat-to-target non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2012;379:1498–1507
14. Bergenstal RM, Rosenstock J, Arakaki RF, et al. A randomized, controlled study of once-daily LY2605541, a novel long-acting basal insulin, versus insulin glargine in basal insulin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:2140–2147
15. Rosenstock J, Bergenstal RM, Blevins TC, et al. Better glycaemic control and weight loss with the novel long-acting basal insulin LY2605541 compared with insulin glargine in type 1 diabetes: a randomized, crossover study. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:522–528
16. Becker RH, Dahmen R, Bergmann K, Lehmann A, Jax T, Heise T. New insulin glargine 300 units • mL⁻¹ provides a more even activity profile and prolonged glycaemic control at steady state compared with insulin glargine 100 units • mL⁻¹. *Diabetes Care* 2015;38:637–643
17. Becker RH, Nowotny I, Teichert L, Bergmann K, Kapitza C. Low within- and between-day variability in exposure to new insulin glargine 300 U/mL-1. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2015;17:261–267
18. Shiramoto M, Eto T, Irie S, et al. Single-dose new insulin glargine 300 U/mL-1 provides prolonged, stable glycaemic control in Japanese and European people with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2015;17:254–260
19. Toujeo homepage. Available from <https://www.toujeo.com>. Accessed 10 Feb 2016
20. Cochran EK, Valentine V, Samaan KH, Corey IB, Jackson JA. Practice tips and tools for the successful use of U-500 regular human insulin: the diabetes educator is key. *Diabetes Educ* 2014;40:153–165
21. Binder C. Absorption of injected insulin: a clinical-pharmacological study. *Acta Pharmacol Toxicol (Copenh)* 1969;27(Suppl. 2):1–84
22. Riddle MC, Bolli GB, Ziemien M, Muehlen-Bartmer I, Bizet F, Home PD; EDITION 1 Study Investigators. New insulin glargine 300 units/mL versus glargine 100 units/mL in people with type 2 diabetes using basal and mealtime insulin: glucose control and hypoglycemia in a 6-month randomized controlled trial (EDITION 1). *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:2755–2762
23. Yki-Järvinen H, Bergenstal R, Ziemien M, et al.; EDITION 2 Study Investigators. New insulin glargine 300 units/mL versus glargine 100 units/mL in people with type 2 diabetes using oral agents and basal insulin: glucose control and hypoglycemia in a 6-month randomized controlled trial (EDITION 2). *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:3235–3243
24. Bolli GB, Riddle MC, Bergenstal RM, et al. New insulin glargine 300 U/mL compared with glargine 100 U/mL in insulin-naïve people with type 2 diabetes on oral glucose-lowering drugs: a randomized controlled trial (EDITION 3). *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2015;17:386–394
25. Terauchi Y, Koyama M, Cheng X, Shimizu S, Hirose T, on behalf of the EDITION JP 2 Study Group. Glycaemic control and hypoglycaemia in Japanese people with type 2 diabetes mellitus receiving new insulin glargine 300 U/mL in combination with OADs (EDITION JP 2) (Abstract 976). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S401
26. Home PD, Bergenstal RM, Riddle MC, et al. Glycaemic control and hypoglycaemia with new insulin glargine 300 U/mL in people with type 1 diabetes (EDITION 4) (Abstract 148). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S69–S70
27. Matsuhisa M, Koyama M, Cheng X, Shimizu S, Hirose T, on behalf of the EDITION JP 1 Study Group. New insulin glargine 300 U/mL: glycaemic control and hypoglycaemia in Japanese people with type 1 diabetes mellitus (EDITION JP 1) (Abstract 975). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S400
28. Riddle MC, Yki-Järvinen H, Bolli GB, et al. Sustained glycaemic control and less hypoglycaemia with new insulin glargine 300 U/ml vs 100 U/ml: 1-year results in type 2 diabetes with basal + mealtime insulin (EDITION 1) (Abstract 980). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S402
29. Yki-Järvinen H, Bergenstal RM, Bolli GB, et al. Less nocturnal hypoglycaemia and weight gain with new insulin glargine 300 U/ml vs 100 U/ml: 1-year results in people with type 2 diabetes using basal insulin and OADs (EDITION 2) (Abstract 946). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S387
30. Jeandidier N, Riddle MC, Bolli GB, et al. New insulin glargine 300 U/ml: efficacy and safety of flexible vs fixed dosing intervals in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (Abstract 961). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S393–S394
31. Ritzel RA, Roussel R, Bolli GB, Vinet L, Yki-Järvinen H. New insulin glargine 300 U/ml: glycaemic control and hypoglycaemia in a meta-analysis of phase 3a EDITION clinical trials in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (Abstract 963). *Diabetologia* 2014;57(Suppl. 1):S394–S395
32. Barbosa CD, Balp MM, Kulich K, Germain N, Rofail D. A literature review to explore the link between treatment satisfaction and adherence, compliance, and persistence. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2012;6:39–48
33. Hendrychova T, Vytrisalova M, Smahelova A, Vlcek J, Kubena AA. Adherence in adults with type 1 diabetes mellitus correlates with treatment satisfaction but not with adverse events. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2013;7:867–876
34. Lane WS, Cochran EK, Jackson JA, et al. High-dose insulin therapy: is it time for U-500 insulin? *Endocr Pract* 2009;15:71–79
35. Peyrot M, Rubin RR, Kruger DF, Travis LB. Correlates of insulin injection omission. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:240–245