

Evaluation of Effects of Health Literacy, Numeracy Skills, and English Proficiency on Health Outcomes in the Population of People With Diabetes in East Harlem

Baldeep K. Mann, Samuel A. De Ycaza Singh, Ritu Dabas, Samaneh Davoudi, and Judith Osvath

■ IN BRIEF In the management of diabetes, adequate health literacy is necessary to help patients monitor their caloric and carbohydrate intake and monitor their blood glucose to achieve adequate glycemic control. This cross-sectional study was conducted to assess the health literacy of patients with diabetes from East Harlem in New York City using the validated Newest Vital Sign screening tool and to investigate its association with microvascular complications of diabetes. Lower health literacy was found to be associated with higher microvascular complications in these patients with diabetes.

The disease burden related to diabetes is high and continues rising worldwide. The latest estimates disclose a global prevalence of 382 million in 2013 alone, and that is expected to rise to 592 million by 2035 (1). According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the total estimated cost for diagnosis and treatment of diabetes in the United States in 2017 was \$327 billion.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the role of health literacy in attaining adequate glycemic control of type 2 diabetes and whether this has any impact on long-term complications of diabetes. Health literacy was assessed with a validated screening tool, the Newest Vital Sign (NVS) (2), in both primary care and nonprimary care settings.

Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional observational study at New York City Health and Hospitals/Metropolitan Hospital Center (Health+Hospital Metropolitan), a university-affiliated community hospital that provides inpatient and comprehensive outpatient services to the population of East Harlem in New York City. A sample

size of 170 was calculated for a 95% CI and a 5% margin of error. This was based on a “below basic” health literacy rate in the United States, as described in the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy survey (3). All known outpatients with diabetes as well as those inpatients with diabetes who were also seen as outpatients in the affiliated clinics from August 2017 to February 2018 were eligible for inclusion. Patients with type 1 diabetes and any patients who did not wish to enroll were excluded. A verbal consent was sought, and the study was approved by the Biomedical Research Alliance of New York.

The main outcome measures for the study were microvascular and macrovascular complications. The cut-off for adequate literacy was a score ≥ 3 on the NVS questionnaire tool. Therefore, cases were considered to be those patients whose NVS scores were 0–2 (indicating inadequate literacy), and control subjects were those with NVS scores of 3–6 (indicating adequate literacy). English proficiency, ability to read and write, A1C level, and microvascular complications of diabetes (i.e., nephropathy, retinopathy, and neu-

New York City Health and Hospitals/
Metropolitan Hospital Center, New York, NY

Corresponding author: Baldeep K. Mann,
baldeep.sippi@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.2337/cd18-0068>

©2018 by the American Diabetes Association.
Readers may use this article as long as the work
is properly cited, the use is educational and not
for profit, and the work is not altered. See [http://
creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0)
for details.

TABLE 1. Comparison of Odds of Having Complications Between Cases and Control Subjects

	Univariate OR (95% CI)	Multivariate OR (95% CI)
Microvascular complications	2.15 (1.09–4.25)	2.18 (1.07–4.46)
Neuropathy	2.3 (1.04–5.05)	2.3 (1.05–5.2)
Retinopathy	2.01 (0.95–4.24)	2.21 (0.98–4.97)
Nephropathy	1.57 (0.76–3.23)	1.61 (0.74–3.78)
Macrovascular complications	0.82 (0.31–2.15)	NA

Bold type denotes statistical significance ($P < 0.05$). NA, not applicable.

ropathy) were logged. Evidence of nephropathy was considered to be positive microalbuminuria test values and/or treatment with low-dose ACE inhibitors. Evidence of neuropathy was considered to be treatment with gabapentin or pregabalin for neuropathy for the past year. Evidence of retinopathy was based on findings of direct fundoscopic examination in the eye clinic within the past year. The patients were considered to have macrovascular complications if they had cerebrovascular disease (as evidenced in brain imaging), coronary artery disease (as evidenced by positive findings on coronary angiography), or peripheral vascular disease (as evidenced by arterial duplex scan of the extremities). Multivariate analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of age, sex, and duration of diabetes as confounding variables with regard to diabetes control.

A total of 170 patients with type 2 diabetes, including 122 cases (mean age 59.2 ± 12.28 years, 54% females, mean duration of diabetes 11.77 ± 8.9 years) and 48 control subjects (mean age 57.9 ± 10.3 years, 52% females, mean duration of diabetes 11.25 ± 10.68 years) were enrolled. Data were analyzed by univariate and multivariate logistic regression using Stata Statistical Software, release 12 (Stata Corp., College Station, Tex.).

Results

At Health+Hospitals Metropolitan, it was found that 71% of the patients with diabetes were unable to appropriately answer a questionnaire based on a Nutrition Facts label. Of these patients, 20% reported not being

able to read or write, and 30% had not reached the fifth grade of primary education, suggesting inadequate health literacy. Microvascular complications were present in 61% of cases and 42% of control subjects. The odds of having microvascular complications were 2.15 times higher for patients with an NVS score of 0–2 compared with patients with an NVS score of 3–6 in the univariate analysis (odds ratio [OR] 2.15, 95% CI 1.09–4.25, $P = 0.026$). The association persisted in multivariate regression, after adjusting for age, sex, and duration of diabetes (OR 2.18, 95% CI 1.07–4.46, $P = 0.032$). The odds of developing neuropathy were 2.3 higher in cases compared to control subjects (OR 2.3, 95% CI 1.05–5.2, $P = 0.037$) in the multivariate regression model. The odds of developing retinopathy were 2.21 in cases as compared to control subjects, with borderline significance ($P = 0.054$) in the multivariate regression model (Table 1). However, results were not significant for nephropathy or macrovascular complications.

Discussion

Health literacy is the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions (4). It has been suggested that limited health literacy and numeracy skills lead to poor self-management behavior and lower glycemic control in patients with diabetes (4–6). The hypothesis for this cross-sectional study was that higher health literacy leads to improved diabetes control. Our aim was to adequately describe

the health literacy of the population served by Health+Hospitals Metropolitan, using the validated NVS health literacy screening tool and to use this information to determine whether limited health literacy is associated with worse outcomes in patients with diabetes.

The study was conducted in primary care and nonprimary care settings. Patients with type 2 diabetes were asked to complete the NVS questionnaire and were also evaluated to ascertain whether they were at their glycemic goal. The main outcomes for the study were microvascular and macrovascular complications.

The NVS tool was used to assess health literacy because it is more feasible and less time-consuming (3 minutes) than other widely used tools such as the Test of Functional

Nutrition Facts	
Serving Size	½ cup
Servings per container	4
Amount per serving	
Calories	250
Fat Cal	120
	%DV
Total Fat 13g	20%
Sat Fat 9g	40%
Cholesterol 28mg	12%
Sodium 55mg	2%
Total Carbohydrate 30g	12%
Dietary Fiber 2g	
Sugars 23g	
Protein 4g	8%
*Percentage Daily Values (DV) are based on a 2,000 calorie diet. Your daily values may be higher or lower depending on your calorie needs.	
Ingredients: Cream, Skim Milk, Liquid Sugar, Water, Egg Yolks, Brown Sugar, Milkfat, Peanut Oil, Sugar, Butter, Salt, Carrageenan, Vanilla Extract.	

FIGURE 1. Nutrition Facts label for a pint container of ice cream, provided as part of the NVS.

expenditures necessary to treat the complications of diabetes and thus decrease the overall financial burden of diabetes on both patients and society.

Conclusion

Lower health literacy as suggested by lower NVS scores was significantly associated with a higher risk of overall microvascular complications and specifically neuropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes.

Duality of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contributions

B.K.M. researched data and wrote the manuscript; S.A.D.Y.S. researched data and reviewed and edited the manuscript; R.D. researched data; S.D. performed statistical analysis; and J.O. reviewed the manuscript. B.K.M. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Forouhi NG, Wareham NJ. Epidemiology of diabetes. *Medicine (Abingdon)* 2014;42:698–702
2. Weiss BD, Mays MZ, Martz W, et al. Quick assessment of literacy in primary care: the Newest Vital Sign. *Ann Fam Med* 2005;3:514–522
3. Cutilli CC, Bennett IM. Understanding the health literacy of America: results of the National Assessment of Adult Literacy. *Orthop Nurs* 2009;28:27–34
4. Schillinger D, Grumbach K, Piette J, et al. Association of health literacy with diabetes outcomes. *JAMA* 2002;288:475–482
5. Aring AM, Jones DE, Falko JM. Evaluation and prevention of diabetic neuropathy. *Am Fam Phys* 2005;71:2123–2128
6. Kim S, Love F, Quistberg DA, Shea JA. Association of health literacy with self-management behavior in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:2980–2982
7. Parker RM, Baker DW, Williams MV, Nurss JR. The Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults: a new instrument for measuring patients' literacy skills. *J Gen Intern Med* 1995;10:537–541
8. Huizinga MM, Elasy TA, Wallston KA, et al. Development and validation of the Diabetes Numeracy Test (DNT). *BMC Health Serv Res* 2008;8:96
9. Olesen K, Reynheim ALF, Joensen L, et al. Higher health literacy is associated with better glycemic control in adults with type 1 diabetes: a cohort study among 1399 Danes. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2017;5:e000437
10. Cavanaugh KL. Health literacy in diabetes care: explanation, evidence and equipment. *Diabetes Manag (Lond)* 2011;1:191–199
11. Al Sayah F, Majumdar SR, Williams B, Robertson S, Johnson JA. Health literacy and health outcomes in diabetes: a systematic review. *J Gen Intern Med* 2013;28:444–452
12. Bailey SC, Breg AG, Crutchfield TM, et al. Update on health literacy and diabetes. *Diabetes Educ* 2014;40:581–604
13. Shin KS, Lee EH. Relationships of health literacy to self-care behaviors in people with diabetes aged 60 and above: empowerment as a mediator. *J Adv Nurs* 2018;74:2362–2372
14. Osborn CY, Cavanaugh K, Wallston KA, Rothman RL. Self-efficacy links health literacy and numeracy to glycemic control. *J Health Commun* 2010;15:146–158
15. Maneze D, Everett B, Astorga C, Yogendran D, Salamonson Y. The influence of health literacy and depression on diabetes self-management: a cross-sectional study. *J Diabetes Res* 2016;2016:3458969